(1)

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 3331

Name of Petitioner. Naik Hanma Nayaka of Sallkarepalya - Residential

Village. Saulāneri

Taluk. Tarikere

District. Kadur

Date of Arzi. 5-6-95

Date of Receipt. 10-6-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil No stamp

Sub'd to the Rev. Commissioner

6/6

You can file deposit

Issued 22/6/95

Replied Sht 2/6

L.R. 101-94-1

2

ABSTRACT.

States that three years ago he obtained on darkhast wet lands Survey Nos. 50 1 2 50 2 of Sallkarepalya. That he requested that he might be supplied with water. That as this was not done he prayed for permission to create means for water conveyance at his own cost. That though he submitted several petitions and personally requested the Taluk and District Authorities they were not pleased to take his request into consideration that he has been paying Bandsar Kandaya for the last 3 years - and that the cultivation season is fast approaching. Prays that Early orders may be issued to grant him permission to provide means of water supply to his lands at his own cost. i.e. to construct a water course across the Kadur Chikmagalur Road at K. 139 Mile, 5 furlongs

P.F. 65897

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1894-95

191

(L.R.)

Later references.

File No. 101 of 94

Serial Nos. 1-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 11

Major ~~Part~~ B for May 1897

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Chennekeswaih, Sanlèveri, ~~Taluk~~ Taluk regarding the Construction of water-course

W D 1250—GPB—80,000—28-1-19

countermarked ML

Recorded BSB

Gen. Serial No. 3107

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
GL. No. 3108
1895 OCT. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Naoun District.

To

L.R. 101-96-3

The Revenue Secretary to
the Government of Mysore.

Dated 10 Oct
Despatched 12 } October 1895
Received

Enclosures No., one Pln & on file

General No. 281 } 1895-96. V.P.
No.

Reporting on the points urged in the petition of Naik-Chennakesaiah of Sakrepatna praying that his wet lands Nos: 501 and 502 of Sakrepatna may be assessed at dry rates or that he be allowed to construct a channel at his own cost.

Recd 14-10-95

Submit in order with refce to the order recorded on P. Proc. 824.

Mr. Knight says 3 papers will be required costing each Rs. 15

16/10 The consent of the Queen is not in writing. The Vernacular papers show that in 1894 the Asst Engr had

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kaour District
Dated Chikmagalur, 10th October 1895.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kaour District.

To

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Official Memorandum No: Pet 606 dated 7th Instt, calling for the records and the submission of a report on the points urged in the petition of Naik Chenna Keshavaiah of Sakrepatna, and in reply to state that the petitioner previously applied to construct a water course to irrigate his lands, Survey Nos: 501 and 502, which petition was forwarded with Memo No: 1927 dated 25th June 1895 for disposal.

2. The lands in question were inspected by Mr. Knight, Assistant Commissioner, on the 25th July and forwarded a memo in which he suggested that the petitioner may be allowed to lay iron pipes across the road to admit of his lands being irrigated. This was approved of by me and an endorsement was given the petition on the 3rd August 1895, No. 5-9.

Pet 1927 ✓ (2) 20-

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition registered No. 9331 dated 10.6.95 received at the Dewan's Office from Rai Chennakesavaiah, Varteeni, Taluk, praying for permission to construct a water course to irrigate his lands Survey Nos. 501 & 502 at Sathepatna.

L.R. 101-9-95-2

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosure~~, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District for disposal.

By order,

Asst. Secy.

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 25-6-1895.

Dy. Rev. 25/6

Rev. Asst. SUK 25/6

M.G.C.P.B.—25-1-95—500

3. The petitioner desires to construct a

5

dam across the river; but is unwilling

objections be in the case the consent

of others, it would be a disadvantage to the Public

Works Department, and it would prevent

them from supplying power, which would benefit

the people in the case, but he has con-

-sulted the Public Works Officer at Sing-

-apura in the matter of the availability

of supply from this place.

4. The entire papers connected with

the case are herewith forwarded with

the petition under reference returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

B Ramadoss

Asst Superintendent Customs

Penang Circuit.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 3331/25
DATE 10-6-25

(8)

Gen. 31]

1896 6829
Pet 579

From The Deputy Commissioner

To The Secretary to Government General and Revenue Departments

R.R. 101 - Pe - 4

Dated 6th
Despatched 7th } March 1896
Received

General No. 619 of 1895-96.
No.

Enclosure No. one & one file V.

Reporting on the petition of Naik Chennakeshaviah of Yakrepatna, Kasaba Taluq, requesting the construction of a stone drain across the Kasaba Chikmagalur road to irrigate his lands.

P.S. Branch
10/3/96

Submitted.
11/3

Submitted for orders, with reference to the above note & order on Petn No. 1504
12/3

Sandwich Esqr
Sanction if he has any objection to the cost from the Petr & build another (4th) tunnel across the road for irrigating his land
Mad
14/3/96

Draft to
17.3.96

Dated, Chikmagalur 6th March 1896.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to Government
General and Revenue De-
-partments.

Sir.

In reply to your Memo No: Pet. 964 dated 11th November 1895, and reminder No: Pet 1525 dated 22nd Ultimo, regarding Naik Channa Keshavia of Sankaree, praying to be allowed to construct a stone drain across the Kadur Chikmagalur road, I have the honor to inform you that the Executive Engineer informs me that petitioner applied to the Public Works Department in January 1894 and received an Endorsement to the effect that no cross drain was necessary as he could take water a little higher up.

2. I inspected this land on 2nd instant and found that the land had been lying waste for a very long time; that there are at present three tunnels across the road within the space of about a furlong from the

Santaveri P.

9

Draft

General No 8516 Dt 18-3-96
Rev No 1735 19

Yr: 6 with records.

From

The Secretary to Govt
in the Rev dept

To.

The Chief Engineer
in Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, for perusal and obliging return, the marginally noted papers relating to the prayer of one Cheemakesavia of Sakrepatna Kadur Taluk, for permission to construct a stone drain across the Kadur-Chikmaglur Road in order to irrigate his lands, I am directed to request that you will favor the Govt with your opinion as to whether you have any objection to another tunnel being built in addition to the three referred to in the Republic Court's letter No 619 of the 6th Inst, and to the cost thereof being levied from the petitioner

I have &c

C 2 draft

18/3/96
Secretary

Pet. No 824 dated 13-8-95 from Cheemakesavia

D.C. No Pet 605 dated 7-9-95 to D.C. Kadur

Letter No 281 dated 10-10-95 from D.C. Kadur with file

Pet. No 1504 dated 16-10-95 from Cheemakesavia

D.C. No Pet 964 dated 11-11-95 to D.C Kadur

Letter No 619 dated 6-3-96 from D.C. Kadur with one file of papers containing among other papers the Knights memo dated 7 July 1895 (Rev 2/5 in the file)

K.R. 101-96-5

The Register and Judges, by virtue of the powers vested in them by said petition and the orders of said Court, have issued their precepts, bearing date the 3rd day of May, 1890, directed to the said Sheriff, commanding him to cause to be published in a newspaper of general circulation, published in said County of Bexar, the time and place of holding said election, as required by said petition and orders of said Court, which precepts have been duly executed by said Sheriff, as appears from his returns thereon, which returns have been duly filed in said Court.

3. The petition presented in this cause is in due form, and the signatures thereto are sufficient, and the Commissioners' Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of said petition and the parties thereto.

4. The petition, bonds and all other papers and proceedings in relation to the organization of said District have been regularly and legally had and conducted in accordance with the law governing the same.

5. The petitioners for the organization of said District are a majority in value of the owners of the property subject to taxation in said District, as the same appears from the last preceding assessment for taxation.

6. The petitioners for the organization of said District are a majority in number of the resident property holders in said District, who are also qualified electors and property taxpayers therein.

7. The petitioners for the organization of said District have in all respects complied with the law governing the same.

8. All the lands and property described in the petition and boundaries of said District will be benefited by the improvements proposed to be made by said District.

9. It is necessary and conducive to the interest and prosperity of all the lands and property within said District, and to the health and welfare of the inhabitants thereof, that said District be established, and the improvements proposed be made.

10. The said District will be benefited by the improvements proposed to be made in a sum greater than the cost thereof.

11. The said District is feasible and practicable.

12. The said District is necessary to be established.

13. The said District will contain a population of more than two hundred and fifty inhabitants.

14. The said District will contain more than two hundred and fifty acres of land.

15. The said District will contain less than twenty thousand acres of land.

16. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any city or town.

17. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any other District.

18. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Water Improvement District.

19. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Irrigation District.

20. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Drainage District.

21. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Navigation District.

22. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Reclamation District.

23. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Levee Improvement District.

24. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Road District.

25. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any School District.

26. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Municipal Corporation.

27. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Town or City.

28. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Village.

29. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Borough.

30. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Township.

31. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Parish.

32. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated County.

33. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated State.

34. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Territory.

35. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Province.

36. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Kingdom.

37. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Empire.

38. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Republic.

39. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Federation.

40. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Union.

41. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Confederation.

42. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated League.

43. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Association.

44. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Society.

45. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Company.

46. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Corporation.

47. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Firm.

48. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Partnership.

49. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Joint Stock Company.

50. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Limited Liability Company.

51. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Limited Partnership.

52. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated General Partnership.

53. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Sole Proprietorship.

54. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Trust.

55. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Estate.

56. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Guardianship.

57. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Conservatorship.

58. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Receivership.

59. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Bankruptcy.

60. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Insolvency.

61. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Dissolution.

62. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Liquidation.

63. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Winding Up.

64. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Termination.

65. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Expiration.

66. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Lapse.

67. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Forfeiture.

68. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Revocation.

69. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Cancellation.

70. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Annulment.

71. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Rescission.

72. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Abrogation.

73. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Repeal.

74. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Amendment.

75. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Modification.

76. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Alteration.

77. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Change.

78. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Variation.

79. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Deviation.

80. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Departure.

81. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Exception.

82. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Reservation.

83. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Condition.

84. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Limitation.

85. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Restriction.

86. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Prohibition.

87. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Injunction.

88. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Writ.

89. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Order.

90. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Decree.

91. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Judgment.

92. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Sentence.

93. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Verdict.

94. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Finding.

95. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Opinion.

96. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Ruling.

97. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Decision.

98. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Determination.

99. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Conclusion.

100. The said District will contain no land within the limits of any Incorporated Resolution.

Att Spoonly

Deputy Commissioners

Bexar County, Texas.

Gen. 31]

1897

L. R. 102 - 96-7

From

The Executive Engineer
Kadur Division

To

The Supt. Engineer
Western Circle

Dated 6. 3. 1897.

Despatched

Received

General No. 55
No.

Enclosure No.

Chief Engineer's Memo No. 1289 of 21. 3. 1896 forwarding for report in original Revenue Secretary's No. 8516/1735 dated 18 & " idem, relating to the prayer of one Bhema Keshavayya of Sakrayapatna Kadur Taluq, for an expression of opinion as to whether there is any objection to another tunnel being built across the Kadur-Mangalore Road

"

In resubmitting the above with its enclosures, has the honour to report that in January 1894 the petitioner was informed by the undersigned

Undersigned that the existing irrigation
at a little distance from his fields con
water to his lands, and that no additi
drain or tunnel across the Government
was necessary for his purpose. There are
already 3 tunnels in a length of one furlon
the extent of land involved is only an
There is however no objection to allow
petitioner another tunnel at his cost,
the work will be done by this Department
receipt of the estimated cost from
petitioner.

The cost of the iron pipe drain will be

Sdy D. Langa
Offg. Exe: E
Kadur

Chief Engrs office
Mysore & W
Dated 23d

No. 1286

Copy forwarded to Secretary to Govern
General and Revenue Departments, with refe
to his No. 8516 / Pet: 1735 dated 18th March '96, the pap
received with which are herewith returned.

M Stubley

Asst: Chief Eng
for Mysore

WM

12

L.R. 62-94-6

1735 795

The correspondence relates to the petn of one Bhemmaiah a ryot of Jakkapalla praying for the Construction at his own cost of a masonry culvert across a water course in the Kadur-Kantavalli limit of the Kadur-Mangalore Road

The Dy Comm'r has no objection to petr to lay down such culvert petr to lay down such pipes but objects to petr constructing a stone culvert as there is already one culvert within the limit of petr's village & on a representation from the Dy Comm'r the Chief Engineer is of opinion that petr's request should be rejected

The papers were then referred to the Executive Engineer for his opinion & report. In reply the Executive Engineer's letter defending the action of his subordinate & stating that there is no objection to allowing petr to construct a culvert as requested in the alternate

Submitted to 2nd Comm'r
29/3/97

7.30 Mr
30/3/97

Executive Engineer's Office
Received
28 MAR 1897
Kadur Sub-Division

MYSORE P.W. DEPARTMENT
1896-97 Ad Pr 561
No. 1286.

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
General & Com: Depts.

Dated Bangalore 23d March 1897

Despatched

Enclosure No. 1 Petn.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding copy of letter No 55 A 7 6.3 1897 from the Exe: Engr Kadur Dn re: the prayer of one Bhemmaiah Kesha-vayya of Sakrapatna for Construction of a culvert across the Kadur Mangalore Rd

Rec 25/3/97

Rejected

Rec 29/3 Despatched 29/3 Ind 27/3

15 (12)

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:—

L.R. 60-96-8

Petition no 3331 dy 5-6-95 and subsequent reminders from naik Channakesavaiah, Sanitary Jamadar Taluk praying for permission to construct a masonry culvert across a drain to irrigate his lands in Sy no 501 & 502 at Doddapatna

2. Letter No 617 dy 6-3-96 from the deputy Comm., Kadur District reporting on the above and submitting the records connected with the case.

3. Docket No 1286 dy 23-3-97 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore forwarding copy of letter no 55 dy 6-3-97 from the Executive Engineer, Kadur division stating that there is no objection to constructing a 4 ft culvert on receipt of the estimated cost from Petitioner

Order Minute — 2nd — 5548-99 / P.1514 / 8.4.97 — Encl — (12)

Government — direct that the Petitioner may pay the cost of Executive Engineer and have the tunnel constructed for his benefit.

The tunnel may be constructed through the Govt land after payment of the cost from pet[r]

Assistant Secretary 8/4/97

To
the Comm[r] Kadapa District
with copy of Pet[n] & Sets
and record

The Chief Engineer Anantapur.

Draft
R.L 8/4

10/4/97

Noted
M.d
26-4-97

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy Commr, Kadapa district.

By order

Asst Secretary

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Dy Commr, Kadapa district.

By order

Asst Secretary

Prov. drafted by order of Asst Secretary

Draft

Asst Secretary

Received
22/4/97

17

2355 of 95

Reminder to D.C. No 1525

22-2-96

Rec'd
23/4

Rep. prepared
26/4

Sent
26/4/97

1514

(13)

1897

No. 3087.

Name of Petitioner S. N. Chennakesava Naik of Sakrepatna

Village Santaveri

Taluk Tarikere

District Kadur

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 22.4.97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT.

Petr states that in reply to his petn requesting permission to construct a drain at his own cost in a suitable place in the 3rd furlong of the 140th mile on the Chickmagalur Kadur Road in order to irrigate Survey Nos 501 and 502 of Sakrepatna, he received this office Endt No 3331 dt 7/4/97 stating that he may have the drain constructed by paying the Estimate amount

1) R letter No 619 d 6/3/96 from the Dy Comr Kadur Dt, the Dy Comr suggested that the D.P.W. Dept should construct the work in the Executive Engineer & the Superintending Engineer Cauvery Circle Seringapatna (endorsement No 1786 d 23/3/97), who also stated that the work will be carried out by the D.P.W.

The reason of course is that there would be a guarantee that the work executed by the D.P.W. Dept would be safe against the flowing water passing through a public road

Petr's request to have the work constructed under his direction does not seem to be reasonable

Put up to Dy Comr's Endt

M 28/4/97

3087

19/4/97

3087R

20-4-97

3087

7-5-97

S. Thennakeshavulu

mount to the Ex: Engr.

Urges that this procedure will not be profitable to him, a poor man.

Prays that he may be permitted to construct the drain at his cost by engaging his own men.

Further States that it is 3 years since he obtained these lands, that he has been paying assessments keeping the lands waste, and that his many petns on the subject lie unanswered.

Prays for early orders.

E&L
22/4/97.

L. R. 107-96-4